

LESLIE BELL (“Plaintiff”), by and through her attorneys, alleges the following against CAPITAL ONE BANK (USA), N.A. (“Defendant”):

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. Defendant conducts business in the state of Texas, and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1391(b)(2).
3. Jurisdiction of this Court arises under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(3). See, Mims v. Arrow Financial Services, LLC, 565 U.S. 368 (2012), holding that federal and state courts have concurrent jurisdiction over private suits arising under the TCPA and 28

1 U.S.C. § 1367 grants this court supplemental jurisdiction over the state claims contained
2 herein.

- 3 4. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas
4 pursuant to 28 U.S.C § 1391(b) because Plaintiff resides within this District and a
5 substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the herein claims occurred, or a
6 substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated within this District.

7 **PARTIES**

- 8 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in the county of Johnson, in the city of Cleburne,
9 Texas.
10 6. Defendant is a Virginia corporation doing business in the state of Texas. Defendant's
11 headquarters are located in McLean, Virginia.
12 7. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant has acted through its agents, employees,
13 officers, members, directors, heir, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties,
14 subrogees, representatives and insurers.
15

16 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 17 8. Defendant placed collection calls to Plaintiff seeking and attempting to collect on alleged
18 debts owed by Plaintiff.
19 9. Defendant placed collection calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone at phone number (682)
20 552-23XX.
21 10. Defendant placed collection calls to Plaintiff from phone numbers including, but not
22 limited to, (800) 955-6600.
23 11. Per its prior business practices, Defendant's calls were placed with an automated telephone
24 dialing system ("auto-dialer").
25

1 12. Defendant used an “automatic telephone dialing system”, as defined by 47 U.S.C. §
2 227(a)(1) to place its telephone calls to Plaintiff seeking to collect a consumer debt
3 allegedly owed by Plaintiff, LESLIE BELL.

4 13. Defendant’s calls did not constitute calls for emergency purposes as defined by 47 U.S.C.
5 § 227(b)(1)(A).

6 14. Defendant’s calls were placed to a telephone number assigned to a cellular telephone
7 service for which Plaintiff incurs a charge for incoming calls pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §
8 227(b)(1).

9 15. Defendant never received Plaintiff’s “prior express consent” to receive calls using an
10 automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or prerecorded voice on her cellular
11 telephone pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A).

12 16. On January 2, 2018 Plaintiff called into Defendant’s company at phone number (800) 955-
13 6600. Plaintiff spoke with Defendant’s female representative and requested that Defendant
14 cease calling Plaintiff’s cellular phone.

15 17. During the conversation, Plaintiff gave her social security number and date of birth to
16 assist Defendant’s representative in accessing her account before asking Defendant to stop
17 calling her cell phone.

18 18. Plaintiff revoked any consent, explicit, implied, or otherwise, to call her cellular telephone
19 and/or to receive Defendant’s calls using an automatic telephone dialing system in her
20 conversation with Defendant’s representative on January 2, 2018.

21 19. Despite Plaintiff’s requests to cease, Defendant continued to place collection calls to
22 Plaintiff after January 2, 2018.
23
24
25

20. Despite Plaintiff's request that Defendant cease placing automated collection calls, Defendant placed at least one hundred and thirty-seven (137) automated calls to Plaintiff's cell phone.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
NEGLIGENT VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT
47 U.S.C. § 227

21. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference into this cause of action the allegations set forth above at Paragraphs 1-20.

22. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple negligent violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.

23. As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., Plaintiff is entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(3)(B).

24. Plaintiff is also entitled to seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
KNOWING AND/OR WILLFUL VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER
PROTECTION ACT
47 U.S.C. § 227 et. seq.

25. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference into this cause of action the allegations set forth above at Paragraphs 1-20.

26. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple knowing and/or willful violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.

1 27. As a result of Defendant's knowing and/or willful violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.,
2 Plaintiff is entitled an award of \$1,500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every
3 violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).

4 28. Plaintiff is also entitled to seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future.

5 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**
6 **DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE TFDCPA**
7 **TEX. FIN. CODE § 392.001 et. seq.**

8 29. Plaintiff repeats and incorporates by reference into this cause of action the allegations set
9 forth above at Paragraphs 1-20.

10 30. Defendant violated the Texas Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, Tex. Fin. Code §
11 392.302(4). Defendant's violations of TFDCPA include, but are not limited to the
12 following:

- 13 a. Defendant violated TFDCPA, Tex. Fin. Code § 392.302(4) by causing Plaintiff's
14 telephone to ring repeatedly or continuously and making repeated or continuous
15 telephone calls, with the intent to harass Plaintiff at the called number.

16 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

17 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, LESLIE BELL, respectfully requests judgment be entered
18 against Defendant, CAPITAL ONE BANK (USA), N.A., for the following:

19 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

20 29. For statutory damages of \$500.00 multiplied by the number of TCPA violations alleged
21 herein (137): \$68,500.00.

22 30. Actual damages and compensatory damages according to proof at time of trial;

23 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

24 31. For statutory damages of multiplied by the number of TCPA violations alleged herein
25 (137): \$205,500.00;

32. Actual damages and compensatory damages according to proof at time of trial;

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

33. Declaratory judgment that Defendant's conduct violated the Texas Fair Debt Collection Practices Act;

34. Statutory damages of \$100.00;

35. Actual damages and compensatory damages according to proof at time of trial.

36. Litigation costs and reasonably billed attorney's fees after a trial on the merits.

ON ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

37. Actual damages and compensatory damages according to proof at time of trial;

38. Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees;

39. Any other relief that this Honorable Court deems appropriate.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

40. Plaintiff demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

Dated: August 2, 2018

By: /s/ Peter Cozmyk
Peter Cozmyk
Attorney for Plaintiff
COZMYK LAW OFFICES, LLC
6100 Oak Tree Blvd., Ste. 200
Independence, OH 44131
P: (877) 570-4440; F: (216) 485-2125
E: Pcozmyk@cozmyklaw.com